

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

GEZA C. ZIEGLER, JR. PERMAN & GREEN, LLP 425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD CT 06430

COPY MAILED

DEC 0 6 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Roger Eastvold

Application Number: 10/074411 : DECISION ON PETITION

Filing Date: 02/12/2002 : Attorney Docket Number: : 390P010777-US (PAR) :

This is a decision on the petition filed on November 1, 2007, under 37 CFR 1.137(b), ² to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is GRANTED.

The application became abandoned on June 30, 2007, for failure to file a timely and proper reply to the final Office action mailed on March 29, 2007, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. The filing of the present petition precedes the mailing of Notice of Abandonment.

Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A $\underline{\text{grantable}}$ petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) $\underline{\text{must}}$ be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application, abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

Petitioner have filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and an amendment as the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114.

The statement contained in the instant petition does not set forth that the entire delay from the due date of the required reply to the date of the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional as required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3). However, the statement contained in the instant petition is being so construed. Petitioner **must** notify the Office if this is not a correct interpretation.

This application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 2154 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions